May 5, 2020; May 20, 2020; January 6, 2021; January 25, 2021

SSAP No. 26R—Bonds

SSAP No. 36—Troubled Debt Restructuring

SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities

SSAP No. 103R—Transfer and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities

- 1. A previously unknown virus began transmitting between October 2019 and March 2020, with the first deaths in the U.S. reported in early March 2020. The disease caused by the virus is known as Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Several states and cities issued "stay at home" orders and forced non-essential businesses to temporarily close. This led to a (o)-3.8 (m)1.1 (ed)-3.8 (m)1.cT3.7 (o)-3.b -3.8 ( )-5.5 (ce001)
- 2. In response to COVID-19, Congress and federal and state prudential banking regulators issued provisions pertaining to loan modifications as a result of the effects of COVID-19. These provisions are intended to be applicable for the term of the loan modification, but solely with respect to a modification, including a forbearance arrangement, interest rate modification, repayment plan, or other similar arrangements that defers or delays the repayment of principal and/or interest, that occurs during the applicable period for a loan that was not more than 30 days past due as of December 31, 2019.
- 3. On April 15, 2020,

5.	

- 13. For the duration of this interpretation, debt security restructurings in response to COVID-19 that solely impact covenant requirements are not considered troubled debt restructurings.
- 14. In addition to the limited-time practical expedients to SSAP No. 36, this interpretation provides an exception to assess modifications as an exchange of debt instruments under paragraph 22 of SSAP No. 103R—Transfer and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. Pursuant to the guidance in SSAP No. 103R, debt instruments that are exchanged with substantially different terms are reported as an extinguishment and a new debt instrument. Pursuant to the provisions in this interpretation:
  - a. Modifications that reflect a 10% or less change in contractual cash flows considered insignificant pursuant to paragraph 12.a. do not need to be further evaluated to determine whether the modification is more than minor based on the specific facts and circumstances (and other relevant considerations) surrounding the modification. As such, these investments shall not be reported as an extinguishment and a new debt instrument.
- 15. The Working Group reached a consensus in response to requests to consider exceptions to statutory accounting guidance for troubled debt restructurings and impairment for all debt instruments. Pursuant to this consensus:
  - a. This interpretation does not provide exceptions to the recognition of a troubled debt restructuring for debt securities with modifications that result in non-insignificant concessions to a debtor that is experiencing financial difficulties.
  - b. This interpretation does not provide exceptions to the assessment or recognition of impairment for debt instruments. Pursuant to the guidance in SSAP No. 26R, after a modification for a debt instrument, assessment of OTTI shall be based on the current terms of the debt instrument.
- 16. In response to assessments on the application of existing SSAP No. 36 provisions, particularly in determining whether a modification is a concession (insignificant), this consensus provides the following limited-time practical expedients in determining whether a modification is a concession under SSAP No. 36:
  - a. Paragraph 10.a. of SSAP No. 36 identifies that restructured payments are considered insignificant if the delay is insignificant to the unpaid principal or collateral value of the debt and will result in an insignificant shortfall in the contractual amount due. For the duration of this interpretation, debt security restructurings in response to COVID-19 are considered to be insignificant if the restructuring results with a change that reflects a 10% or less shortfall amount in the contractual amount due.
  - b. Paragraph 10.b. of SSAP No. 36 identifies that restructured payments are considered insignificant if the delay in timing of the restructured payment period is insignificant to the frequency of payments due under the debt, debt's original contractual maturity or the debt's original expected duration. For the duration of this interpretation, debt security restructurings in response to COVID-19 are considered to be insignificant if the restructuring does not result in an extension of the maturity of the debt by more than three years.
- 17. For the duration of this interpretation, debt security restructurings in response to COVID-19 that solely impact covenant requirements are not considered troubled debt restructurings.