Image: DATE:
 4/22/21
 FOR NAIC USE ONLY

 CONTACT PERSON:
 Dave Fleming
 Agenda Item # 2021-11-L.

 TELEPHONE:
 816-783-8121
 Year
 2021

 EMAIL ADDRESS:
 dfleming@naic.org
 Year
 2021

DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE(S)

This proposal incorporates bond factors proposed by the American Council of Life Insurers (ACLI) which are based on the work of Moody's Analytics for the expanded presentation of bond designation categories in the annual statement and risk-based capital (RBC) schedules.

REASON OR JUSTIFICATION FOR CHANGE **

The expanded presentation of bonds is a result of the work of the Investment Risk-Based Capital (E) Working Groupsic th 70CID 41 (c) 81.3

Confidential when Completed

	Short Term Bonds							
(9)	Exempt Obligations	AVR Default Component Column 1 Line 18	X 0.0	00000	=			
(10.1)	NAIC Designation Category 1.A	AVR Default Component Column 1 Line 19.1	X 🚯		=		8	
(10.2)	NAIC Designation Category 1.B	AVR Defaal CODessignmetride Colatego ty Line 19. nent CoX0.400 R5Defaal CODessignmetride Colatego ty Line 19. neX0)0015 8+∔(1	RODZA) NAA	slCCDespigmætidrCGlategotyL1nB	∎ 19.neX000158A-4(1R0D2)-)1aA-10C020enspigmar	etitorCGladeegotyL1n&	19.neX000158A-4(1R0D2)-MaAlO

Confidential when Completed

Æ								Т			
			(1)	(2)		(3)		(4)	(5)	(6)	
				Book / Adjusted				Additional	Adjustment/	RBC	
	Asset Type			Carrying Value		Factor		RBC	Subsidiary RBC	Requirement	
	Issuer Name:										
(1.1)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 2.A			Х	Ø	=				
(1.2)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 2.B			Х	₫	=				2
(1.3)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 2.C			Х	Ø	=				
(2.1)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 3.A			Х	65	=				1
(2.2)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 3.B			Х	ø	=				
(2.3)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 3.C			Х	Ø	=				
(3.1)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 4.A			Х	Ø	=	8			
(3.2)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 4.B			Х	95	=				55
(3.3)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 4.C			Х	0	=				
(4.1)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 5.A			Х	0	=				
(4.2)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 5.B			Х	Ø	=				
(4.3)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 5.C			Х	₫	=				0
(5)	Bond NAIC 6				Х	0.15000	=				
(6.1)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 1.A †			Х	₫	=				8
(6.2)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 1.B †			Х	Ø	=	1			
(6.3)	Bond NAIC Desi	ignation Category 1.C +			Х	0	-				

(6.3) Bond NAIC Designation Category 1.C † X 9 = (6.4) Bond NAIC Designation Category 1.6.n6.1 /MCID 443 >>BDC 0 g /TT0 1 Tf 39.558 0 Td XX)Tj EMC /P <</MCID 541 >>B67d-1.385 Td [(()6.1 (4.3))]TJ EMC /P <</MCID 10.4 (.923 0 Td (0.00419)T4()6.1 (4.3))]TJ EMC154



Com	ipany Name	Confidential when Completed									NAIC Company Code						
E					GE									D			
As of:																	
Type of Hedged Asset	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)			
			Relationship														
	Description	Notional Amount															

BONDS LR002

Basis of Factors

The bond factors are based on cash flow **rhinoglessing** historically adjusted defaultates for each bond category. For eac2,000 trials, annual economic conditions were generated for the 10-year modeling period. Each bond of a 400-bond portfolio was annually tested for default (based on a "rolleö) the default probability varies by designation category and that year's economic vironment. When a default takes place, at loss considers the expected principal topscategory, the time until the sale actually occurs and the assumed tax consequences.

Actual surplus needs are reduced by inocating anticipated annual contributions to the asset valuation reserve (AVR) existing fs ash flow. Required surplus for a given trial is calculated as the amount of initial surplus funds needed sththat cumulation with interest of this initial amount and eques needed state any point throughout the modeling period. The factors chosen for the proposed formula produce a level of surplus at least as metchinas percent of the trials by category and a 96 percent level for the entire bond portfolio.

The factor for NAIC 6 bonds regnizes that the book/adjustearying value of these bonds reflects a loss of value uponltder marked to market.

Specific Instructions for Application of the Formula

Lines (1) through (7)

The book/adjusted carrying value of all bonds and related fixed-income investments should be reported in Column (1). artes point so seven differentiate classifications. For long-term bonds, these classifications are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component, the gen are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component, the gen are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component, the gen are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component, the gen are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component, the gen are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component and the gen are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component and the gen are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component and the gen are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Component are found on Lines 1 through 7 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Com

<u>Line (8)</u>

Lines (9) through (15)

The book/adjusted carrying value of all bonds and related fixed-income investments should be reported in Column (1). all the sport of all bonds are found on Lines 18 through 24 of the Asset Valuation Reserve Default Comp&Dearft Reagenual statement.

<u>2</u>2)

ation RBC Requirement for a particular proplets the Real Estate RBC Requirement for a particular propertion to exceed the book/adjusted carrying value properties exceeding the book/adjusted carrying value must be adjusted down to the book/adjusted carrying

)-1.5,t (o)54.2(l64.2(u)54.2mon)54.2d 2(e mu)54.2ltiplitdn2n00nthLone41.5((7n)54.2()-1.5,t (o)54.2(l64.2(u)54.2mon)54.2d(2()-4.5.a)23(e)]TJ -51.497 -

(5) Description - Bond description found in Schedule For intermediate relationships, each bond must be listed (fethge insurer acquires a credit default index that hedges 125 names equally, then the insurer must list all 125 names on the schedule.)

(6) CUSIP Identification - Bond unique identifier found in Schedule D.

(7) Book Adjusted Carrying Value - Value found on Schedule D.

(8) Overlap with Insurer's Bond Portfolio – The portion of Cronu(2) Notional Amount of the Hedging Instrument that hedges not (7) Book Adjusted Carrying Value. This amount cannot exceed Column (37) ok Adjusted Carrying Value.

(9) Maturity Date - The date is found in Schedule D.

(10) NAIC Designation - Designation found in ScheduleNDcessary to determine correct RBC Factor for the Bonds.

(11) RBC Factor - Factor based on Column (NA)C Designation and NAIC C-1 RBC factors table.

(12) Gross RBC Charge – This is the C-1 RBC charge based on hot ditings end of the year. Calculation: Columns (7) Bookusteid Carrying Value multiplied by (11) RBC Factor.

(13) RBC Credit for Hedging Instruments – If Column (8) Overklatt Insurer's Bond Portfolio is zero; the RBC Credit would bable zero. The Hedging Instrument must have more than 1 year remaining to maturityoinder to receive any RBC creditovided that the remaininitigme to maturity of the ledged Asset - Bonds is greater than 1 year. If both the Hedging Instrument and the Hedged Asset - Bonds maturity alatteess than 1 year, the maxim RBC credit determined usinget formula below shall be allowed provided that the maturity of the hedging instrument is equal to or later the amaturity of the bond. Calculation is Column (8) Overklatt Insurer's Bond Portfolio multiplied by RBC Credit as % of C-1 Asset Charge formula (formula listed ow) multiplied by Column (11) RBC Factor.

RBCCreditas% of C1AssetCharge Min ${9}, {Timeto Maturity of Hedging Instrument -$ © Timeto Maturity of Bond 1 0% 10% 10%

Time to Maturity of Hedging Instrument divided by Time to Maturity of Bond cannot exceed 1.

(14) Net RBC Charge – Column (12) Gross RBC Charge minus (13) RBC Credit for Hedging Instruments.

Common Stocks

- (1) Description Reported on Schedule DB.
- (2) Notional Amount Amount reported on Schedule DB.

(4) Description - Common Stock description found in Schedule D Part 2 Section 2ntermediate relationships, each common stock must be listed (e.g. if the insurer acquires short futures contract that hedges the S&P 500, then the insurer must list all 500 stocks on the schedule).

(5) CUSIP Identification - Common Stock unique indifier found in Schedule D Part 2 Section 2.

(6) Book Adjusted Carrying Value - Value found on Schedule D Part 2 Section 2.

(7) Overlap with Insurer's Stock Portfolio – The portion of Contu(2) Notional Amount of the Hedging Instrument that hedges not (6) Book/Adjusted Carrying Value. This amount cannot exceed the Colum) Book Adjusted Carrying Value.

(8) RBC Factor - Factor based on NAIC C-1 RBC factors table.

(9) Gross RBC Charge - The C-1 RBC charge based on holdingsemiction the year. Calculation: Columns (6) Book Adjusted virage Value multiplied by (8) RBC Factor.

(10) RBC Credit for Hedging Instruments - RBCedit for equity market risk reduction is limited to 94% of the C-1 Asset charGelculation: Column (7) Overlap with Insurer's

Factors Table As determined by the NAIC

NAIC Designation	Factor
	0.00000
1	0.00158
1.A	0.00158
1.B	0.00271
1.C	0.00419
1.D	0.00523
1.E	0.00657
1.F	0.00816
1.G	0.01016
2.A	0.01261
2.B	0.01523
2.C	0.02168
3.A	0.03151
3.B	0.04537
3.C	0.06017
4.A	0.07386
4.B	0.09535
4.C	0.12428
5.A	0.16942
5.B	0.23798
5.C	0.30000
6	0.30000

Common Stock Type	Factor
Other Unaffiliated Public Common Stock	0.4500 †
Money Market Mutual Funds	0.0040
Federal Home Loan Bank Common Stock	0.0110
Unaffiliated Private Common Stock	0.3000

† - 30 percent adjusted up or down by the weighted average beta for the publicly traded common stock portfolio subject to a minimum of 22.5 percent and a maximum of 45 percent.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET COLLATERAL (Including any Schedule DL, Part 1 Assets Immoluded in the Asset Valuation Reserve) LR018

Basis of Factors

Security lending programs arequered to maintain collatera Some entities post the collateral supporting security lending programs on their financial statements, and incur C-1 risk charges on those assets. Other entities have collateral that is not recorded on their financial statements. While not the dided cial statements of the company, such collateral has risks that are not otherwise captured in the RBC formula.

Annual Statement Schedule DL, Part 1, Securities Lending Orallatesets reported on the balance sheet (Assets Page, Lisbour) be included on the schedule with the Off-Balance Sheet Collateral if they are noteed in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in another portion reflected in the Asset Valuation Research are reflected in the Asset Valuation Research ar

The collateral in these accounts is maintained by a third-party (typically a bank or other agent). The collateral agents on abreta alf of the company detail asset listings of the collateral assets, and this data is the source for preparatitories schedule. The company ostild maintain such asset listing ret listing ret listing ret listing ret listing ret listing a company of the company ostild maintain such asset listing ret listing ret





Scope

Proposing RBC C1 bond factors using data and methodologies that better reflect economic risks to better assess insolvency risk and help identify potentially weakly capitalized life insurers; the C1 factors should not incentivize poor business decisions that can adversely impact solvency.

- Methodologies and data rely entirely on public sources that are accessible and reproducible by NAIC and industry
- Articulated limitations
- NAIC to use at its discretion in setting the final C1 factors, although MA cautions isolated modifications to modeling features and parameters without considerations of the interconnected elements of the C1 modeling framework and limitations
- While the ACLI, the industry, the NAIC, and commissioners have been engaged extensively, the views are solely those of MA and based on an objective assessment of supporting documentation, and data and modeling approaches that in MA's experience viewed as best practice

Proposed Updates to the RBC C1 Bond Factors



Overview of Impactful Targeted Improvements





Economic State Model and the MA Proposed Correlation Model

Economic State Model Initially Outside Scope

Two material limitations

Economic state model is calibrated to default rates across contraction and expansion states, but it implies default correlations of ~0% for IG issuers, overstating diversification across issuers relative to that observed empirically, resulting in:

- » C1 base factors that potentially understate credit losses
- » PAFs that are overly punitive (lenient) to portfolios with a smaller (larger) number of issuers

Economic Scalars, that are applied to the default rate term structure in each simulated state (expansion and contraction) exhibit counterfactual increases and decreases across the NAIC designation categories.

- » They lead to an overall flattening of C1 base factors for high yield relative to those of investment grade
 - 34 Contraction Economic Scalars average 2.56 for investment grade and 1.75 for high yield (1)
- » Under certain parameterizations C1 base factors are non-monotonic, e.g., contraction scalar going from 1.9421 (Ba3) to 1.4958 (B1) (2).

Economic Scalars	Aaa	Aa1	Aa2	Aa3	A1	A2	A3	Baa1	Baa2	Baa3	Ba1	Ba2	Ba3	B1	B2	B3	Caa1	Caa2	Caa3
Continued Expansion	NA	0.7381	0.7380	0.7392	0.8189	0.8192	(2) 0.8189	0.8617	0.8620	0.8617	0.8549	0.8542	0.8536						
Expansion	0.7365	0.7342	0.7361	0.7334	0.7309	0.7290	0.7300	1.1301	1.1299	1.1318	0.8381	0.8384	0.8381	1.1901	1.1905	1.1901	0.9100	0.9093	0.9087
	(1)										(1)								
Contraction	2.7495	2.7409	2.7482	2.7378	2.7287	2.7214	2.7252	2.1479	2.1475	2.1511	1.9422	1.9429	1.9421	1.4958	1.4964	1.4958	1.8042	1.8028	1.8016
Continued Contraction	NA	3.2231	3.2224	3.2279	2.9728	2.9738	2.9727	2.2114	2.2122	2.2114	2.2388	2.2371	2.2356						

MOODY'S ANALYTICS.

Proposed Updates to the RBC C1 Bond Factors

7KH \$FDGHP\¶V

Proposed C1 Base Factors

,QFUHPHQWDO HIIHFWV RI UHSODFLQJ WKH HFRQRPLF VWDWH PRGH

» 0\$¶V SURSRVHG FRUUHODp9%

MIS Rating	Current Factors	\$ F D G H P ∖ ¶ Proposed Factors [March 2021]	0\$¶V 3UHOLPLQDU V Base Factors with Economic State Model & \$FDGHP\¶V 'HIDX	0\$¶V 3UHOLPI Proposed Base Factors with Correlation Model & C\$₩D53DH/PH¶V 'HID:	_QDU
Aaa	0.390%	0.290%	(1) 0.254%	0.289%	
Aa1	0.390%	0.420%	0.373%	0.412%	
Aa2	0.390%	0.550%	0.476%	0.550%	
Aa3	0.390%	0.700%	0.593%	0.715%	
A1	0.390%	0.840%	0.694%	0.896%	
A2	0.390%	1.020%	0.817%	1.046%	
A3	0.390%	1.190%	0.921%	1.254%	
Baa1	1.260%	1.370%	1.128%	1.388%	
Baa2	1.260%	1.630%	1.287%	1.633%	
Baa3	1.260%	1.940%	1.542%	1.956%	
Ba1	4.460%	3.650%	2.848%	3.955%	J
Ba2	4.460%	4.660%	3.739%	4.840%	
Ba3	4.460%	5.970%	(2) 4.952%	5.995%	
B1	9.700%	6.150%	4.920%	7.854%	
B2	9.700%	8.320%	6.614%	9.901%	
B3	9.700%	11.480%	9.319%	12.679%	
Caa1	22.310%	16.830%	13.364%	16.044%	
Caa2	22.310%	22.800%	18.788%	19.870%	
Caa3	22.310%	33.860%	31.359%	28.933%	

0 V 3 U R S R V H G D F W R U V

Impact on Post-PAF C1 RBC

》



Default Rates



》

Proposed C1 Base Factors

Incremental effects of MA proposed default rates

»	Default rate term structures representing experience of life insurance holdings tend to be more differentiated across MIS ratings than Academy proposed, and closer aligned to benchmarks	MIS Rating	Current Factors	0 \$ ¶ V 3 U H O L Proposed Base Factors Z L W K \$ F D G H Default Rates	PLQDU\ 0\$¶V 3UHOLPLQD Proppsed Base Factors
»	7KH UHVXOWLQJ & EDVH IDFWRUV XQGH	U.0\$	IV SUR	SRVHG	
	default rates are generally more differentiated across the	Aaa	0.390%	0.289%	0.158%
	Aa3 to Baa3 range	Aa1	0.390%	0.412%	0.271%
	Aas to baas range	Aa2	0.390%	0.550%	0.419%
»	The ratio of the Baa3 factor to the Aa3 factor is	Aa3	0.390%	0.715%	0.523%
		AT	0.390%	0.896%	0.657%
	\pm XQGHU 0\$¶V SURSRVDO ZLWK WKH \$	FAGE			
	rates	A3 Dec1	0.390%	1.254% 2.7	
		Baal	1.260%	1.388%	1.201%
		Baaz	1.260%	1.633%	1.523%
»	7KH \$FDGHP\¶V SURSRVHG GHIDXOW UD\	NHBaY L	ЈӉѴ҉Ҳ҉Ѻ	W L 955% E	DV3.151%
	factors being approximately 15% larger on average than	Ba2	4.460%	4.840%	4.537%
	XQGHUOSURSRVHG GHIDXOW UDWHV	Ba3	4.460%	5.995%	6.017%
		B1	9.700%	7.854%	7.386%
		B2	9.700%	9.901%	9.535%
		B3	9.700%	12.679%	12.428%
		Caa1	22.310%	16.044%	16.942%
		Caa2	22.310%	19.870%	23.798%
		Caa3	22.310%	28.933%	32.975%



Risk Premium



Discount Rate and Tax Rate

Tax rate was updated from 35% to 21%

Discount rate

» Used to calculate the net present value of projected cash flows.

»



Recap

Summary of MA Proposed C1 Factors and their Impact

Data better represents historical experience R I O L I H L Q V X Unhethold blogies O G better capture issuer diversification C1 base factors & PAFs more accurately default correlations, & diversification default rates, default correlations, & diversification default rates,

- » Impact on post -PAF C1 RBC
 - + Higher post-PAF RBC, on average, across the life industry compared to current formula
 - ± Larger post-PAF RBC increase compared to current formula, on average, for insurers with small and medium number of issuers, but much less so than WKDW XQGHU \$FDGHP\¶V SURSRVDO
- » Limitations of economic state model and their impact on accuracy of C1 base factors & PAFs
 - ± The economic state model overstates diversification across issuers relative to that observed empirically, resulting in
 - ³⁄₄ Understatement of credit losses in C1 base factors, all else equal
 - ³⁄₄ PAFs that are overly punitive (lenient) to portfolios with a smaller (larger) number of issuers
 - ± (FRQRPLF 6FDODUV ZKLFK DUH SDUW RI WKH HFRQRPLF VWDWH PRGHO XQ and decideated to a overall flattening of high yield C1 base factors relative to investment grade, and under certain parameterizations C1 base factors that are non-monotonic.
- » Impact of replacing the economic state model with MA proposed correlation model
 - MA proposed correlation model more accurately reflects empirically observed default correlations and issuer diversification benefits, and that addresses all aforesaid limitations of the economic state model. As a result:
 - MA proposed C1 base factors are more conservative and more differentiated across NAIC designation categories than those implied by the economic state model.
 - MA proposed PAFs more accurately reflect issuer diversification benefits and are less punitive (lenient) to portfolios with a small (larger) number of LVVXHUV UHODWLYH WR WKRVH IURP WKH \$FDGHP\¶V SURSRVDO

Moody's Better Faster Decisions

Amnon Levy Managing Director, Portfolio and Balance Sheet Research +1 (415) 874-6279 Amnon Levy@moodys.com

Akshay Gupta Assistant Director, Portfolio and Balance Sheet Research <u>Akshay.Gupta@moodys.com</u>

Kamal Kumar Director, Portfolio and Balance Sheet Research Kamal.Kumar@moodys.com Pierre Xu Director, Portfolio and Balance Sheet Research +1 (415) 874-6290 Pierre.Xu@moodys.com

- E

Libor Pospisil Director, Portfolio and Balance Sheet Research Libor.Pospisil@moodys.com Andy Zhang Assistant Director, Portfolio and Balance Sheet Research +1 (415) 874-6035 Andy.Zhang@moodys.com

8 ¥., 3

₩ **6**°= a ₩

Mark Li Assistant Director, Portfolio and Balance Sheet Research Mark.Li@moodys.com

moodysanalytics.com

د ORRG\¶V & RUSRUDWLRQ ORRG\¶V, QYHVWRUV 6HUYLFH, QF ORRG&M¶WF\$NQLDY@NON/LP10/22,"Arm[6DQ\$OROU WKHLU OLFHQVRUV DQG DIILOLDWHV F rights reserved.