Statutory Issue Paper No. 128

Settlement Requirements for Intercompany Transactions, An Amendment to SSAP No. 25—Accounting for and Disclosures about Transactions with Affiliates and Other Related Parties

STATUS

Finalized June 12, 2006

Current Authoritative Guidance for Settlement Requirements for Intercompany Transactions: SSAP No. 25

This issue paper may not be directly related to the current authoritative statement.

Original SSAP from Issue Paper: SSAP No. 96

Type of Issue:

Common Area

SUMMARY OF ISSUE

- 1. Current statutory guidance relating to intercompany transactions is included in SSAP No. 25
 Accounting for and Disclosures about Transactions with Affiliates and Other (SSAP) Appendix at 25). SSAP No. 25 does not explicitly impose an aging threshold for admission of loans and advances to related parties outstanding as of the reporting date. In addition, no explicit aging threshold exists for admission of receivables associated with transactions for services provided to related parties outstanding as of the reporting date.
- 2. While SSAP No. 25 established statutory accounting principles and disclosure requirements for related party transactions, the purpose of this issue paper is to amend this guidance to include an explicit aging threshold for admissibility for these transactions. A threshold will further clarify the current requirement in paragraph 6 of SSAP No. 25 regarding the maintenance of accounts "on a current basis." In addition, a threshold provides explicit parameters in which to apply the fair and reasonable standard established by Appendix A-440, which is referenced in paragraph 15, of SSAP No. 25.

SUMMARY CONCLUSION

3. This issue paper shall amend SSAP No. 25 to insert the following additional paragraph numbered six and to renumber the remaining paragraphs of the statement:

Transactions between related parties must be infother of a written agreement. The written agreement must provide for timely settlement of amounts, owided a specified due date. Amounts owed to the reporting entity over ninety days from then waighteement due date shall be nonadmitted. If the due date is not addressed by the written agreement any uncollected receivable is nonadmitted.

Disclosures

4. This issue paper requires no additional disclosures.

Effective Date and Transition

5. After adoption of this issue paper, the NAIC will release a Statement of Statutory Accounting Principle (SSAP) for comment. The initial draft of the SSAP will contain the adopted Summary Conclusion of this issue paper. Users of the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual hote

IP No. 128 Issue Paper

that issue papers are not represented in the Statutory Hierarchy (see Section IV of the Preamble) and therefore the conclusions reached in this issue paper should not be applied until the corresponding SSAP has been adopted by the Plenary of the NAIC. It is expected that the SSAP will be effective for reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2007.

DISCUSSION

6. This issue paper will help ensure transactions between the reporting entity and its parent, affiliates and related parties, are current. This inclusion of a 90-day rule is consistent with other

r aftingNoate/Comments

76.

Statutor Acco unting The No. 65 cept ()6 (of aen

recoverable is not settled within 90 days offing of a consolidated income tax return, or where a refund is due the reporting entity's parethin (40) days of the receipt of such refund.

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

10. GAAP does not address the concept of admitted assets.

RELEVANT LITERATURE

Statutory Accounting

SSAP No. 25 Accounting for and Disclosures Tabosactions with Affiliates and Other Related Parties

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

None

State Regulations

No additional guidance obtained from state statutes or regulations.

IP No. 128 Issue Paper

EXHIBIT A: Illustration of Marked Changes to Amended SSAP No. 25

The following depicts the amendment made by this issue paper as "marked changes": (new text underlined):

SCOPE OF STATEMENT

1. Related party transactions are subject to abuse because reporting entities may be induced to enter transactions that may not reflect economic realities or may not be fair and reasonable to the reporting entity or its policyholders. As such, related party transactions require specialized accounting rules and increased regulatory scrutiny. This statement establishes statutory accounting principles and disclosure requirements for related party transactions.

SUMMARY CONCLUSION

- 2. Related parties are defined as entities that have common interests as a result of ownership, control, affiliation or by contract. Related parties shall include but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Affiliates of the reporting entity, as defined in paragraph 3;
 - b. Trusts for the benefit of employees, such as pension and profit-sharing trusts and Employee Stock Ownership Plans that are managed by or under the trusteeship of management of the reporting entity, its parent or affiliates;
 - c. The principal owners of the reporting entity;
 - d. The management of the reporting entity, its parent or affiliates (including directors);
 - e. Members of the immediate families of principal owners and management of the reporting entity, its parent or affiliates and their management;
 - f. Parties with which the reporting entity may deal if either party directly or indirectly controls or can significantly influence the management or operating policies of the other to an extent that one of the transacting parties might be prevented from fully pursuing its own separate interest;
 - g. A party which can, directly or indirectly, significantly influence the management or operating policies of the reporting entity, which may include a provider who is contracting with the reporting entity. This is not intended to suggest that all provider contracts create related party relationships;
 - h. A party which has an ownership interest in one of the transacting parties and can significantly influence the other to an extent that one or more of the transacting parties might be prevented from fully pursuing its own separate interests;
 - i. Attorney-in-fact of a reciprocal reporting entity or any affiliate of the attorney-in-fact; and
 - j. A U.S. manager of a U.S. Branch or any affiliate of the U.S. manager of a U.S. Branch.
- 3. An affiliate is defined as an entity that is within the holding company system or a party that, directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the reporting entity. An affiliate includes a parent or subsidiary and may also include partnerships, joint ventures, and limited liability companies as defined in SSAP No. 48 Joint Ventures, Partnerships and Limited Liability Compassive No. 48). Those entities are accounted for under the

guidance provided in SSAP No. 48, which requires an equity method for all such investments. An affiliate is any person that is directly or indirectly, owned or controlled by the same person or by the same group of persons, that, directly or indirectly, own or control the reporting entity.

- 4. Control is defined as the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of the investee, whether through the (a) ownership of voting securities, (b) by contract other than a commercial contract for goods or nonmanagement services, (c) by contract for goods or nonmanagement services where the volume of activity results in a reliance relationship (d) by common management, or (e) otherwise. Control shall be presumed to exist if a reporting entity and its affiliates directly or indirectly, own, control, hold with the power to vote, or hold proxies representing 10% or more of the voting interests of the entity.
- 5. Control as defined in paragraph 4 shall be measured at the holding company level. For example, if one member of an affiliated group has a 5% interest in an entity and a second member of the group has an 8% interest in the same entity, the total interest is 13%, and therefore, each member of the affiliated group shall be presumed to have control. This presumption will stand until rebutted by an evaluation of all the facts and circumstances relating to the investment based on the criteria in FASB Interpretation No. 35, Criteria for Applying the Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stall Interpretation of APB Opinion NoThe&corollary is required to demonstrate control when a reporting entity owns less than 10% of the voting securities of an investee. The insurer shall maintain documents substantiating its determination for review by the domiciliary commissioner. Examples of situations where the presumption of control may be in doubt include the following:
 - a. Any limited partner investment in a limited partnership, unless the limited partner is affiliated with the general partner.
 - b. An entity where the insurer owns less than 50% of an entity and there is an unaffiliated individual or group of investors who own a controlling interest.
 - c. An entity where the insurer has given up participation rights¹ as a shareholder to the investee.
- 6. Transactions between related parties must be in the form of a written agreement. The written agreement must provide for timely settlement of amounts owed, with a specified due date. Amounts owed to the reporting entity over ninety days from the written agreement due date shall be nonadmitted. If the due date is not addressed by the written agreement any uncollected receivable is nonadmitted.

Related Party Loans

6.7. Loans or advances (including debt, public or private) made by a reporting entity to its parent or principal owner shall be admitted if approval for the transaction has been obtained from the domiciliary commissioner and the loan or advance is determined to be collectible based on the parent or principal owner's independent payment ability. An affiliate's ability to pay shall be determined after consideration of the liquid assets or revenues available from external sources (i.e., determination shall not include dividend paying ability of the subsidiary making the loan or advance) which are available to repay the balance and/or maintain its account on a current basis. Evaluation of the collectibility of loans or

¹ The term "participating rights" refers to the type of rights that allows an investor to effectively participate in significant decisions related to an investee's ordinary course of business and is distinguished from the more limited type of rights referred to as "protective rights". Refer to the sections entitled: "Protective Rights" and "Substantive Participating Rights" in EITF 96-16

- b. Whether there is an absence of significant financial investment by the buyer in the financial interest transferred, as evidenced, for example, by a token down payment or by a concurrent loan to the buyer;
- c. Whether repayment of debt that constitutes the principal consideration in the transaction is dependent on the generation of sufficient funds from the asset transferred;
- d. Whether limitations or restrictions exist on the buyer's use of the financial interest transferred or on the profits arising from it;
- e. Whether there is retention of effective control of the financial interest by the seller.
- 12.13. A transaction between related parties may meet the criteria for treatment as an economic transaction at one level of financial reporting, but may not meet such criteria at another level of financial reporting. An example of such a transaction is a reporting entity purchasing securities at fair value from an affiliated reporting entity that carried the securities at amortized cost. This transaction meets the criteria of an economic transaction at this level of financial reporting, and therefore, the selling reporting entity would record a gain and the acquiring reporting entity would record the securities at their cost (fair value on the transaction date). At the common parent level of reporting, this transaction has resulted in the mere inflation of surplus, and therefore, is a non-economic transaction. The parent reporting entity shall defer the net effects of any gain or increase in surplus resulting from such transactions by recording a deferred gain and an unrealized loss. The deferred gain shall not be recognized by the parent reporting entity unless and until arms-length transaction(s) with independent third parties give rise to appropriate recognition of the gain.
- 13.14. A non-economic transaction is defined as any transaction that does not meet the criteria of an economic transaction. Similar to the situation described in paragraph 12, transfers of assets from a parent reporting entity to a subsidiary, controlled or affiliated entity shall be treated as non-economic transactions at the parent reporting level because the parent has continuing indirect involvement in the assets.
- 14.15. When accounting for a specific transaction, reporting entities shall use the following valuation methods:
 - a. Economic transactions between related parties shall be recorded at fair value at the date of the transaction. To the extent that the related parties are affiliates under common control, the controlling reporting entity shall defer the effects of such transactions that result in gains or increases in surplus (see paragraph 12);
 - b. Non-economic transactions between reporting entities, which meet the definition of related parties above, shall be recorded at the lower of existing book values or fair values at the date of the transaction;
 - c. Non-economic transactions between a reporting entity and an entity that has no significant ongoing operations other than to hold assets that are primarily for the direct or indirect benefit or use of the reporting entity or it's affiliates, shall be recorded at the fair value at the date of the transaction; however, to the extent that the transaction results in a gain, that gain shall be deferred until such time as permanence can be verified;
 - d. Transactions which are designed to avoid statutory accounting practices shall be reported as if the reporting entity continued to own the assets or to be obligated for a liability directly instead of through a subsidiary.

IP No. 128 Issue Paper

Examples of transactions deemed to be non-economic include security swaps of similar issues between or among affiliated companies, and swaps of dissim

- viii. Statement value of assets transferred by reporting entity.
- c. The dollar amounts of transactions for each of the periods for which financial statements are presented and the effects of any change in the method of establishing the terms from that used in the preceding period;
- d. Amounts due from or to related parties as of the date of each balance sheet presented and, if not otherwise apparent, the terms and manner of settlement;
- e. Any guarantees or undertakings, written or otherwise, for the benefit of an affiliate or related party which result in a material contingent exposure of the reporting entity's or any related party's assets or liabilities;
- f. A description of material management or service contracts and cost-sharing arrangements involving the reporting entity and any related party. This shall include, but is not limited to, sale lease-back arrangements, computer or fixed asset leasing arrangements, and agency contracts, which remove assets otherwise recordable (and potentially nonadmitted) on the reporting entity's financial statements;

g.

