Mariana Gomez/ock
Assistant General Counsel

August 30, 2018

Justin C. Schrader, CFE
Chief Financial Examiner, Nebraska Department of Insurance
Chair, Liquidity Assessment (EX) Subgroup
Justin.Schrader@nebraska.gov

Re: Comments Scope of Insurers Subject to Liquidity Stress Tes

Dear Mr. Schrader:

The American Council of Life Insurers (ACLI) advocates on behalf of approximately 290 member companies dedicated to providing products and services that contribute to consumers' financial and retirement security. ACLI members represent 95 percent of industry assets, 93 percent of life insurance premiums, and 98 percent of annuity considerations ithe United States. 75 million families depend on our members' life insurance, annuities, retirement plans, lorighm care insurance, disability income insurance and reinsurance products. Taking into account additional products including dental, visiod an other supplemental benefits, ACLI members provide financial protection to 90 million American families.

Thank you as always for the opportunity to comment on the important work of the NAIC Liquidity Assessment SubgroupOur comment letter is divided ito two parts. In the first section we highlight some

Our view is that any data published should notdentify individual groups. Liquidity stress testing and the risk profile of any particular group will valgased on, among other factors, arket conditions/fluctuations and the potential role of risk mitigation toolsWithout a complete understanding of these myriad factor,sdissemination of individualized data could lead to misunderstandings by analysts as well as public policy makers. As is the case with the NAIC

SPIAs, and the group fixed annuities includes psion lisk transfer, neither of which typically presents liquidity riskas cash values cannot be withdrawn on a discretionary basis.

Derivatives:

The majority of ife insurance derivative use is for hedging purposes only, with state regulations limiting the overall size of a life insurer's derivatives book relative to the amount of admitted assets a life insurer holds. This is a key mitigating factor to liquidity risk becauses be assets can be used to satisfy derivative collateral requirement popular, most life insurers require customized derivatives to hedge the market risks inherent in some products offered to clients and in the assets purchased for the general account. Comized derivatives trade in the billateral overthe-counter market where the assets eligible for pledging to dealers in a collateral arrangement are broad and include most of the highuality assets insurers own. Therefore, it is unlikely life insurers would need to liquidate a large block of assets to satisfy over the-counter derivative margin calls. Instead, life insurers would typically pledge highality fixed income general account securities, mitigating liquidity risk arising from life insurer's diging activities. Life Insurers retain legal ownership rights of assets pledged under these arrangements and these assets continue to be included in Life Insurers' Admitted Assets.

Funding Agreements and GICs

The inclusion of allelements of these instruments appears overly broadbased on what we know currently. Some examples fofurther consideration: Funding agreements and GICs only expose companies to material liquidity risk if the policyholder has the right to surrender the instrument. Typically, FHLB funding agreements cannot be surrendered by the FHITBe GIC definition likely sweeps in both institutional and retail GICs (such as GICs within a 401K plan). The liquidity relevance would only extend to institutional GICs here are many products within these broad categories, such as annuities certain and supplemental contracts thatso do not in our view contain any liquidity risk Synthetic GICs do not pose liquidity risk at the magnitude of the amount of business wrapped As these examples illustrate, the appropriate products in this category should be carefully investigated prior to any decision of inclusion pr9T7t5.9 (t)5.7 60.05 84 8[(10.4.5)]