To: State Insurance Departments

From: Financial Condition (E) Committee

Date: July 17, 2017

Re: Recognition of Says and Injunctionisn Receivership

On July 17, 2017, the Financial Condition (E) Committee adopted a recommendation from the Receivership and Insolvency (E) Task Force to encourage state artments of insurance to consider enhancements to state receivership and insolvency laws to provide e of its chargesthe following:

Continue to study the states' receivership laws and practices in comparison to the Financial Stability Board's (FSB) Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions (Key Attributes) and its corresponding assessment thodology. Identify and provide recommendations for possible enhancements to the U.S. receivership regime based on the study, as well as recommendations to the FSE for possible future enhancements to either the Key Attributes or the assessment methodolo

To address this chargehe Working Group identified several key topics for consideration. One primary enhancement to the U.S. receivership regime is to address differences in state laws and practices related to receivership stays and injunctions. Recommendations outlined inchattent A for recognition of receivership stays and injunctions were adopted by the Working Group on May 16, 2017 and by the Receivership and Insolvency (E) Task Force on June 28, 2011 TachmentA details background information regarding this topic and arecommendation for state insurance departments' consideration.

The Committee supports the guidance and recommendations of the Task Force and its Working Group as outlined in Attachment A and requests states to consider these enhancements to their parastimes.

If you have any questions please contact NAIC staff, Jane Koenigsman, jkoenigsman@naic.org

Attachment A

Receivership Model Law (E) Working Group Guidance Regarding Recognition of Receivership Stays and Injunctions

Introduction

Recommendations

An effective stay provision promites judicial economy and predictability, which benefits all participiantise receivership process. However, the significant improvements in the NAIC Model regarding stays have not been widely adopted. States should review their receivership laws, another the following:

- 1) States with no stay provisions, porrovisions based on older NAI@odels should compare their laws to the more recent NAIC Models, and evaluate the benefits of a more comprehensive stay.
- 2) States with noreciprocity provisions or provisions based on older NAIQmodels should consider adopting a provision similar to IRLMA § 5 (C) (2) or IRMA § 1002 (A). In the alternative, a state could update its definition of areciprocal stateto include any state that has adopted an actstsatbstantially similar to any of the receivership model acts promulgated by the NAIC.

W:\National Meeting 2017\Summe\CmtdE\Attachment OndB E Committee Memo to States 071717.docx