

September 6, 2018

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Attention: CMS-9919-P P.O. Box 8016 Baltimore, MD 21244-8016

To Whom It May Concern:

The following comments on the proposed risk adjustment methodology for 2018 (the NPRM), as published in the *Federal Register* on August 10, 2018, are submitted on behalf of the members of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC), which represents the chief insurance regulators in the 50 states, the District of **IMIDALS ABDINCS MIGNETALE STATE** (sA)4.7 (A)4.7 y )-11 (S(u)10.9 (bm)1.6 pp (.6 (a)-1.7 pub)10.1)-4.6 f bud(us)-2.3 v for plan year 2018. As important stakeholders in risk adjustment, state insurance

nethodology for plan year 2018. As important stakeholders in risk adjustment, state insurance is has provided adequate explanation of its risk adjustment methods and sufficiently justified average premium.

Affordable Care Act directs HHS to develop the risk adjustment methods, specifically barts C and D as models. Risk adjustment under parts C and D seeks to achieve actuarial use charges and payments to reach a balance across issuers of their risk. Reaching balance nent is budget neutral, with charges to lower-risk issu9 (.)2 ( R) is koaldjustment charges collected from health insurers, the risk adjustment program must remain budget neutral. Using the statewide average resents a method to assure that budget neutrality is maintained and prevents a shortfall in risk adjustment Using a method that does not ensure risk adjustment charges equal payments would add uncertainty for to the amounts they would pay or receive through risk adjustment, which would likely reduce market d raise overall premiums.

e specifically support CMS' use of the statewide average premium in the risk adjustment methodology ar 2018 to maintain continuity from year to year. CMS has already finalized rules for plan years 2017 under which it would employ the statewide average premium. Using a different methodology for plan would add unnecessary complication and burden for insurers and regulators and introduce additional into insurancfederal risk adjustment methods, the authority for states adjustments to the federal calculations is one important way for states to improve risk adjustment for their markets without undertaking full responsibility for risk adjustment. We support CMS' decision

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services U.S. Department of Health and Human Services September 6, 2018 Page 2

to provide states the flexibility to make such requests, starting with plan year 2020, and we urge that it be maintained.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment. As state regulators continue to monitor the effects of risk adjustment on market competition, premiums, and consumer choices, we will continue to provide comments. We are available to discuss these or other issues as the NPRM is finalized.

Sincerely,

V
Julie Mix McPeak

NAIC President Commissioner

Tennessee Department of

Commerce & Insurance

Raymond G. Farmer

**NAIC Vice President** 

Director

South Carolina Department of Insurance

Fry A. Cerry

Eric A. Cioppa NAIC President-Elect Superintendent

Maine Bureau of Insurance

Gordon I. Ito
NAIC Secretary-Treasurer
Commissioner
Insurance Division
Hawaii Department of Commerce
and Consumer Affairs