

Draft: 8/2/24

Accelerated Underwriting (A) Working Group

B. Discussed Regulatory Considerations A(2)

The next comment was a proposed revision to Section A(2) suggested by the consumer representatives:

“External data sources, Algorithms or Predictive Models are based on sound actuarial principles, including a rational explanation why a rating variable is





~~"6. The insurer establishes and follows procedures to protect the consumer's~~

have the ability to change the underlying record. Masterson said that, generally, under insurance privacy law, the

Commissioner Houdek explained that the proposed revisions use more general language to encompass all applicable notice requirements, consistent with applicable state and federal laws. This approach avoids being too narrow and inadvertently eliminating something like an opt-in requirement. The Working Group agreed to include the revisions in the next draft.

G. Discussed Strategies for Review B(6)

Consumer representatives suggested adding a new Strategy for review B(6):

"6. Confirm a life insurer has a mechanism in place to correct mistakes if found in consumer data – and a mechanism by which the consumer can inform the insurer of a perceived mistake and obtain specific and direct corroboration of the insurer's receipt and action on the notice of mistaken data."

Bridgeland explained that the consumer representatives suggested adding a new strategy for review, and its importance was discussed previously. The consumers believe that the critical nature of having a mechanism in place to correct errors warrants the inclusion of a mechanism to identify and correct errors as a strategy for review. Commissioner Houdek agreed with the need for a process

